

**DeKalb Park District  
Pool Consideration Committee Meeting Minutes  
March 3, 2014**

**Committee Members Present:** Per Faivre, Lisa Small, Colleen Belmont, Roger Olsen, Mike Mascall, Scott deOliveira, Steve Irving, , Don Biggs, Rich Rice, Lynn Neeley. (Keith Nyquist and Steve Duchrow were absent.)

**Guest Present:** Alicia Schatteman, Assistant Professor, NIU

**1. Call Meeting to Order**

The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m.

**2. Approve the Agenda**

Lisa Small moved to approve the agenda, Colleen Belmont seconded the motion. All members voted in favor.

**3. Oak Park Renovation of Pool** - tabled to next meeting

**4. Review Pool Options If Using Existing Basin**

a. PHN Status of Budget Pricing

Three pool design scenarios were forwarded to PHN to develop very preliminary cost estimates. They will have figures for the next committee meeting.

b. Mechanical Status of Budget Pricing

Don Biggs distributed figures from the DeKalb High School 2010 pool renovation. Scott deOliveira reported that he met with DeKalb Mechanical and gave them the "as-built" drawings of the facility.

**5. History Fact Sheet**

Rich Rice reported that he and Steve Duchrow had met three times to prepare the history fact sheet. Scott will provide some additional information.

**6. Survey Discussion**

Alicia Shatteman, NIU Asst. Professor in the Center for Non-Government Organization Leadership & Development, was present to discuss a possible survey of the community to determine the desire for a pool renovation or a new pool. The committee needs to phrase the survey questions. The discussion continued regarding timing of a survey, the best way to conduct the survey, and how to distribute the survey.

It was suggested by Lynn Neeley that perhaps incentives offered to participants would increase the number of responses. Ms. Shatteman estimated that there could be a 15-17% response rate.

Per Faivre asked that committee members e-mail example survey questions to him before the March 17 pool committee meeting in order to be considered. Questions should be short, easy to understand, and not “leading” the respondent.

**7. Parking Discussion**

Faivre asked the committee to consider increased parking needed for a pool facility. Several ideas were offered, including increase the size of the parking lot on the north side of the pool by sweeping the entrance road farther north. Lisa Small suggested that adding a lot of asphalt to Hopkins Park for a three month facility might not be viewed favorably by park users. Faivre suggested overflow parking could be on the grass and stated that he would prepare a rough sketch of how many additional cars could be parked on the grassy areas near the pool.

**8. Public Input e-mails**

Scott deOliveira summarized two e-mails that were received. The first came from Cheryl Brauer, who stated that she was concerned about the proximity of the pool facility to Sycamore Road. The second was received from Pat Polly, a Sycamore resident who frequently uses Hopkins Pool. She suggested stairs in the main pool would be a good feature.

**9. Business from the Floor**

There was no public comment.

**10. Discuss Future Committee Tasks**

a. Financing/Budget

Lisa Small presented a financial report of what the debt payments would be given 4 funding levels of \$3 million, \$4 million, \$6 million, and \$8 million. Payments would be made over 20 years and 4 different interest rates were presented.

Annual debt payments would be \$211,000-\$240,000 for \$3million;  
\$281,000-\$320,000 for \$4 million; \$422,000-\$481,000 for \$6 million; and  
\$562,000-\$641,000 for \$8 million.

The District currently has \$2,760,000 in outstanding GO and Alternate Revenue Source Bonds. It’s total Legal Debt Limit is \$14,037,611 but would need to have a referendum to issue debt over \$2,808,000 (not including Alt. Rev. Bonds)

Currently the District uses \$360,000 per year to repay the Alt Rev Bond for the Sports and Rec Center and \$285,000 for the Capital Projects Department, leaving only \$545,000 per year for ALL District-wide capital improvement, development and equipment purchases.

b. Ideal Bather Capacity- deferred for discussion at the next meeting

**11. Adjourn Meeting**

The meeting was adjourned at 8:07pm.